Heterogeneity, minorities, and leaders in opinion formation Non-reversible perturbations of Markov chains models

Fabio Fagnani, Politecnico di Torino

joint work with Giacomo Como, Lund University

CSAMM, University of Maryland November 2012

Perturbation of dynamical networks

The stability of a complex large-scale dynamical network under localized perturbations is one of the paradigmatic problem of these decades.

Key issues:

- Correlation: understand how local perturbation affect the overall behavior.
- Resilience find bounds on the perturbation 'size' which the network can tolerate.
- Phase transitions

Perturbation of dynamical networks

State of the art:

- Most of the results available in the literature are on connectivity issues.
- Analysis of how the perturbation is altering the degree distribution of the network.
- Degrees are in general not sufficient to study dynamics.
- Example: non-reversible Markov chain models.

Perturbation of dynamical networks

What type of perturbations:

- Failures in nodes or links in sensor or computer networks. Sensor with different technical properties.
- Heterogeneity in opinion dynamics models: minorities, leaders exhibiting a different behavior
- A subset of control nodes in the network...

In this talk:

- Non-reversible perturbations of Markov chain models
- Applications to consensus dynamics

Outline

- Perturbation of consensus dynamics.
- ► The general setting: perturbation of Markov chain models.
- An example: heterogeneous gossip model.
- Results on how the perturbation is affecting the asymptotics.
- Conclusions and open issues.

Consensus dynamics

G = (V, E) connected graph



 y_v initial state (opinion) of node v

Dynamics: y(t+1) = Py(t), y(0) = y $P \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times V}$ stochastic matrix on $G(P_{uv} > 0 \Leftrightarrow (u, v) \in E)$

Consensus: $\lim_{t\to+\infty} (P^t y)_u = \pi^* y$ for all u (* means transpose) $\pi \in \mathbb{R}^V_+, \ \pi^* P = \pi^*, \ \sum_u \pi_u = 1$ (invariant probability)

Consensus dynamics

G = (V, E) connected graph



Example: (SRW) $P_{uv} = \frac{1}{d_u}$, d_u degree of node uExplicit expression for π : $\pi_u = \frac{d_u}{2|E|}$

 π essentially depends on local properties of ${\it G}.$

This holds true for general reversible Markov chains.

Consensus dynamics

G = (V, E) connected graph



Dynamics: y(t + 1) = Py(t), y(0) = yConsensus: $\lim_{t\to+\infty} (P^t y)_u = \pi^* y$ for all u

Two important parameters:

- the invariant probability π responsible for the asymptotics
- the mixing time τ responsible for the transient behavior (speed of convergence)

Perturbation of consensus dynamics

G = (V, E) connected graph



- $P \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times V}$ stochastic matrix on G
- Perturb *P* in a small set of nodes: $\tilde{P}_{uv} = P_{uv}$ if $u \notin W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$.

Perturbation of consensus dynamics

G = (V, E) connected graph



- $P \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times V}$ stochastic matrix on G
- Perturb P in a small set of nodes: $\tilde{P}_{uv} = P_{uv}$ if $u \notin W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$.
- Cut edges

Perturbation of consensus dynamics

G = (V, E) connected graph



- $P \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times V}$ stochastic matrix on G
- ▶ Perturb *P* in a small set of nodes: $\tilde{P}_{uv} = P_{uv}$ if $u \notin W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$.
- Cut edges. Add new edges.

(Acemoglu et al. 2009)

 $G = (V, E), W \subset V$ a minority of influent (stubborn) agents



- At each time t choose an edge $\{u, v\}$ at random.
- ► If $u, v \in V \setminus W$, $y_u(t+1) = y_v(t+1) = (x_u(t) + x_v(t))/2$ (reg. interaction)

(Acemoglu et al. 2009)

G = (V, E), $W \subset V$ a minority of influent (stubborn) agents



▶ At each time *t* choose an edge {*u*, *v*} at random.

• If $u \in W$, $v \in V \setminus W$,

- ► $y_u(t+1) = y_u(t), y_v(t+1) = \varepsilon y_v(t) + (1-\varepsilon)y_u(t)$ with probability p (forceful interaction)
- ► $y_u(t+1) = y_v(t+1) = (x_u(t) + x_v(t))/2$ with probability 1 - p (reg. interaction)

(Acemoglu et al. 2009)

 $G = (V, E), W \subset V$ a minority of influent (stubborn) agents



- ▶ At each time *t* choose an edge {*u*, *v*} at random.
- If $u, v \in W$ nothing happens.

•
$$y(t+1) = P(t)y(t)$$

- y(t) converges to a consensus almost surely if p ∈ [0, 1). But what type of consensus?
- ► If no forceful interaction is present (p = 0), $y(t)_u \rightarrow N^{-1} \sum_v y(0)_v$ for every u.
- $\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}(P(t)) = P_p$
- P_p and P_0 only differ in the rows having index in $W \cup \partial W$.

Perturbation of Markov chain models

The abstract setting:

- G = (V, E) family of connected graphs. $N = |V| \rightarrow +\infty$.
- $W \subseteq V$ perturbation set
- P, \tilde{P} stochastic matrices on $G. \tilde{P}_{uv} = P_{uv}$ if $u \notin W$

•
$$\pi^* P = \pi^*$$
, $\tilde{\pi}^* \tilde{P} = \tilde{\pi}^*$.

► Study $||\pi - \tilde{\pi}||_{\text{TV}} := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v} |\pi_{v} - \tilde{\pi}_{v}|$ (as a function of *N*) Notice that $|\tilde{\pi}^{*}y - \pi^{*}y| \leq ||\pi - \tilde{\pi}||_{\text{TV}}||y||_{\infty}$

The ideal result: $\pi(W)
ightarrow 0 \ \Rightarrow \ || ilde{\pi} - \pi ||_{\mathrm{TV}}
ightarrow 0$

A counterexample



$$P_{u,u+1} = P_{u,u-1} = 1/2$$
, π uniform

A counterexample



$$P_{u,u+1} = P_{u,u-1} = 1/2, \quad \pi \text{ uniform}$$

 $\tilde{P}_{1,2} = 1, \ \tilde{P}_{1,n} = 0, \quad \tilde{\pi}_1 = 1/n, \ \tilde{\pi}_j = \frac{2(n-j+1)}{n^2} \text{ for } j \ge 1$

 $||\pi - \tilde{\pi}||_{\mathrm{TV}} \asymp \mathrm{cost.}$

Perturbation of Markov chain models

▶ G = (V, E) family of connected graphs. $N = |V| \rightarrow +\infty$.

• $W \subseteq V$ perturbation set

•
$$P, \tilde{P}$$
 stochastic matrices on $G. \quad \tilde{P}_{uv} = P_{uv}$ if $u \notin W$

•
$$\pi^*P=\pi^*$$
, $ilde{\pi}^* ilde{P}= ilde{\pi}^*$,

If the chain mixes slowly, the process will pass many times through the perturbed set W before getting to equilibrium. $\tilde{\pi}$ will be largely influenced by the perturbed part.

Consequence: $||\pi - \tilde{\pi}||_{\mathrm{TV}} \not\rightarrow 0$

Perturbation of Markov chain models

▶ G = (V, E) family of connected graphs. $N = |V| \rightarrow +\infty$.

- $W \subseteq V$ perturbation set
- P, \tilde{P} stochastic matrices on $G. \ \tilde{P}_{uv} = P_{uv}$ if $u \notin W$
- $\pi^* P = \pi^*$, $\tilde{\pi}^* \tilde{P} = \tilde{\pi}^*$.

A more realistic result:

P mixes suff. fast, $\pi(W) \to 0 \implies \tilde{\pi} - \pi \to 0$

Recall: mixing time $\tau := \min\{t \mid ||\mu^*P^t - \pi^*||_{\text{TV}} \le 1/e \ \forall \mu\}$ SRW on *d*-grid with *N* nodes, $\tau \asymp N^{2/d} \ln N$ SRW on Erdos-Renji, small world, configuration model $\tau \asymp \ln N$ Perturbation of Markov chain models: the literature

- G = (V, E) family of connected graphs. $N = |V| \rightarrow +\infty$.
- $W \subseteq V$ perturbation set
- P, \tilde{P} stochastic matrices on $G. \tilde{P}_{uv} = P_{uv}$ if $u \notin W$

•
$$\pi^* P = \pi^*$$
, $\tilde{\pi}^* \tilde{P} = \tilde{\pi}^*$.

$$||\tilde{\pi} - \pi||_{\text{TV}} \le C\tau ||\tilde{P} - P||_1$$
 (Mitrophanov, 2003)

To measure perturbations of P, the 1-norm is not good to treat localized perturbations: if P and \tilde{P} differ just in one row u and $|P_{uv} - \tilde{P}_{uv}| = \delta$, then, $||P - \tilde{P}||_1 \ge \delta$ and will not go to 0 for $N \to \infty$. In our context, the bound will always blow up.

Perturbation of Markov chain models

- ▶ G = (V, E) family of connected graphs. $N = |V| \rightarrow +\infty$.
- $W \subseteq V$ perturbation set
- ▶ P, \tilde{P} stochastic matrices on $G. \tilde{P}_{uv} = P_{uv}$ if $u \notin W$

•
$$\pi^* P = \pi^*$$
, $\tilde{\pi}^* \tilde{P} = \tilde{\pi}^*$.

A more realistic result:

P mixes suff. fast, $\pi(W) \to 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\pi} - \pi \to 0$

There is another problem: if P mixes rapidly, nobody guarantees that \tilde{P} will also do...

Perturbation of Markov chain models: first result

- ▶ G = (V, E) family of connected graphs. $N = |V| \rightarrow +\infty$.
- $W \subseteq V$ perturbation set
- ▶ P, \tilde{P} stochastic matrices on $G. \tilde{P}_{uv} = P_{uv}$ if $u \notin W$

•
$$\pi^* P = \pi^*$$
, $\tilde{\pi}^* \tilde{P} = \tilde{\pi}^*$.

Theorem
$$||\tilde{\pi} - \pi||_{TV} \le \tau \tilde{\pi}(W) \log \frac{e^2}{\tau \tilde{\pi}(W)}$$
. (1)

or, symmetrically,

$$||\tilde{\pi} - \pi||_{TV} \le \tilde{\tau}\pi(W) \log \frac{e^2}{\tilde{\tau}\pi(W)} \,. \tag{2}$$

Proof: Coupling technique.

Perturbation of Markov chain models: first result

Corollary $au\pi(W) \to 0, \ ilde{ au} = O(au) \Rightarrow || ilde{\pi} - \pi||_{TV} \to 0$ $au\pi(W) \to 0, \ ilde{\pi}(W) = O(\pi(W)) \Rightarrow || ilde{\pi} - \pi||_{TV} \to 0$

The perturbation, in order to achieve a modification of the invariant probability, necessarily has to

- slow down the chain
- ▶ increase the probability on the perturbation subset *W*.

 π and τ are intimately connected to each other!

Perturbation of Markov chain models: first result

Slowing down the chain and putting weight on W look quite connected to each other and essentially amounts to decrease the probability of exiting W:



A deeper analysis

Lemma

$$ilde{\pi}(W) \leq rac{1}{1 + ilde{\phi}_W^* au_W^*} \, ,$$

where

 $\begin{aligned} \tau^*_W &:= \min\{\mathbb{E}_v[T_W] : v \in V \setminus W\},\\ \text{minimum entrance time to } W\\ \text{it depends on } P \end{aligned}$

 $\tilde{\phi}_{W}^{*} := \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \sum_{v \in V \setminus W} \tilde{\pi}_{w} \tilde{P}_{wv}}{\tilde{\pi}(W)}$ bottleneck ratio of W it depends on \tilde{P}

Proof From Kac's lemma

$$ilde{\pi}(W)^{-1} = \mathbb{E}_{ ilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{W}}}[\mathcal{T}^+_{\mathcal{W}}] = 1 + \sum_w \sum_v rac{ ilde{\pi}_w}{ ilde{\pi}(W)} ilde{\mathcal{P}}_{wv} \mathbb{E}_v[\mathcal{T}_W] \geq 1 + ilde{\phi}^*_W au^*_W.$$

A deeper analysis

► bottleneck ratio \longleftrightarrow exit probability from W: $\mathbb{P}_w(T_{V \setminus W} \le d) \ge \alpha \ \forall w \in W \implies \tilde{\phi}^*_W \ge d/\alpha$

If $\mathbb{P}_w(T_{V \setminus W} \leq d) \geq \alpha$ for fixed $d, \alpha > 0$ and for every $w \in W$, then

$$ilde{\pi}(W) \leq rac{1}{1+ ilde{\phi}_W^* au_W^*} symp (au_W^*)^{-1}$$
, $au ilde{\pi}(W) = O\left(rac{ au}{ au_W^*}
ight)$

Hence,

$$rac{ au}{ au_W^*}
ightarrow 0 \; \Rightarrow \; || ilde{\pi} - \pi||_{TV}
ightarrow 0$$

A deeper analysis

• minimum entrance time $\leftrightarrow \pi(W)$:

 $\tau_{W}^{*} := \min\{\mathbb{E}_{v}[T_{W}]\} \asymp \pi(W)^{-1} \text{ (Conjecture)}$

(Kac's lemma: $\pi(\mathcal{W})^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{w} \sum_{v} \frac{\pi_{w}}{\pi(W)} P_{wv} \mathbb{E}_{v}[T_{W}]$ $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{v}[T_{W}] \asymp \pi(\mathcal{W})^{-1}$ for some v....)

 $\mathbb{P}_w(\mathcal{T}_{V \setminus W} \leq d) \geq \alpha$ for every $w \in W$ plus conjecture imply

$$rac{ au}{ au_W^*} symp au \pi(W) o 0 \; \Rightarrow \; || ilde{\pi} - \pi||_{TV} o 0$$

Examples

The conjecture

$$\tau_W^* := \min\{\mathbb{E}_v[T_W]\} \asymp \pi(W)^{-1}$$

holds if P is the simple random walk SRW on

- *d*-grids with $d \ge 3$, |W| bounded.
- ▶ Erdos-Renji, configuration model (w.p. 1) if $|W| = o(N^{1-\epsilon})$

(techniques: electrical network interpretation, effective resistance; locally tree-like graphs)

Recall that

• *d*-grid, $\tau \simeq N^{d/2} \ln N$

• Erdos-Renji, configuration model (w.p. 1) $\tau = O(\ln N)$

Examples

Theorem

- ▶ G = (V, E) family of connected graphs. $N = |V| \rightarrow +\infty$.
- $W \subseteq V$ perturbation set
- ▶ *P* SRW on *G*, $\tilde{P}_{uv} = P_{uv}$ if $u \notin W$

$$\blacktriangleright \pi^* P = \pi^*, \, \tilde{\pi}^* \tilde{P} = \tilde{\pi}^*.$$

▶ $\mathbb{P}_w(T_{V \setminus W} \leq d) \geq \alpha$ for fixed $d, \alpha > 0$ and for every $w \in W$,

If G and W are:

• *d*-grids with $d \ge 3$, |W| bounded

► Erdos-Renji, configuration model (w.p. 1), $|W| = o(N^{1-\epsilon})$ then,

$$||\tilde{\pi} - \pi||_{TV} \rightarrow 0$$

Application to the heterogeneous gossip model

•
$$G = (V, E)$$
, $W \subseteq V$ forceful agents (with prob. p).

►
$$y(t+1) = P(t)y(t)$$
, $\mathbb{E}(P(t)) = P_p$

▶ P_p and P_0 only differ in the rows having index in $W \cup \partial W$.

A specific example: *d*-regular (toroidal) grid.

 $P_0 = (1 - N^{-1}) \mathrm{Id} + N^{-1} d^{-1} A_G$ is a lazy simple random walk,

 π_0 uniform probability, $\tau_0 \asymp N^{2/d+1} \ln N$, $\tau_W^* \asymp \frac{|W|}{N^2}$

 $\frac{\tau_0}{\tau_W^*} \asymp |W| N^{2/d-1} \ln N \to 0$ if $d \ge 3$, |W| bounded.

 $||\pi_p - \pi_0||_{\mathrm{TV}} \rightarrow 0$

- the minority has a vanishing effect on the global population
- ► max_ν(π_p)_ν → 0 society remains wise (in Jackson's terminology)

Gossip with stubborn agents

Take p = 1 in the heterogeneous gossip model.

 $G = (V, E), W \subset V$ a minority of influent (stubborn) agents

• At each time t choose an edge $\{u, v\}$ at random.

• If
$$u, v \in V \setminus W$$
,

$$y_u(t+1) = y_v(t+1) = (x_u(t) + x_v(t))/2$$

• If $u \in W$, $v \in V \setminus W$,

$$y_u(t+1) = y_u(t), \ y_v(t+1) = (y_v(t) + y_u(t))/2$$

Gossip with stubborn agents

(Acemoglu, Como, F., Ozdaglar)

▶ $y(t) \rightarrow y(\infty)$ in distribution. $(y_w(\infty) = y_w(0) \ \forall w \in W)$

► If
$$\exists w, w' \in W$$
: $y_w(0) \neq y_{w'}(0)$, then,
 $\mathbb{P}(y_v(\infty) \neq y_{v'}(\infty)) > 0$ (asymptotic disagreement)

► However
$$\frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ v : \left| \mathbb{E}[y_v(\infty)] - \xi \right| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right| \le C_{\varepsilon} \tau \pi(W)$$

If $\tau \pi(W) \to 0$, then approximate consensus!

This can also be read as a sort of lack of controllability: constraints on the shape of the final state configuration achievable by the global system.

Conclusions and open issues

- Perturbations of Markov chain models and their effect on the invariant probabilities.
- If the mixing time is sufficiently small w.r. to the size of the perturbation, the effect on the invariant probability becomes negligeable in the large scale limit.
- Applications to consensus dynamics
- Find more general estimation of the minimum entrance time parameter τ^{*}_W.
- Find estimation of type c₁ ≤ π̃_ν/π_ν ≤ c₂. They would permit to obtain estimations of |τ̃ − τ|.
- Study phase transitions.
- Consider perturbations of non linear models (consensus versus epidemic, threshold models).