

Worksheet 8: exercises on chapters 18–19 from the lecture notes

(Some of these exercises come from the books by [Schlesinger], [Baldovino-Lanza], [Sernesi], [Leon])

1. Write down the matrix $M(f)$ associated to the endomorphism f with respect to the canonical basis, knowing that $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is defined by the following properties:

- $f(e_1) = 2e_1 - e_2 + 5e_3$;
- e_2 is an eigenvector for f , relative to the eigenvalue $\lambda = -2$;
- $f(e_2 + e_3) = -2e_1 + e_3$.

2. Write down the matrix $M(g)$ associated to the endomorphism g with respect to the canonical basis, knowing that $g : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is defined by the following properties:

- $v_1 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ is an eigenvector for g , relative to the eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = -1$;
- $v_2 = 2e_1 + 3e_2$ is an eigenvector for g , relative to the eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = 3$;
- $\text{Ker}(g) = \{(x, y, z) \mid x = t, y = 2t, z = t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

3. Find all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the following matrices, and compute the algebraic and geometric multiplicities of the eigenvalues.

(a) $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$;

(b) $B = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & -4 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$;

(c) $C = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$;

(d) $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$;

(e) $E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$;

(f) $F = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$.

4. Diagonalize, when possible, the following matrices over \mathbb{R}

$$(g) \ G = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -8 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$(h) \ H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5. Diagonalize, if possible, matrices L and M over \mathbb{R} :

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then use the diagonalization to compute L^6 , M^4 , L^{-1} and M^{-1} .

6. Try to prove that eigenvectors relative to distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent.

7. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ two matrices with the same diagonalizing matrix P . Try to prove that A and B commute, that is, $AB = BA$.

8. For which values of a and b in \mathbb{R} is the following matrix $A_{a,b} \in \mathbb{R}^{4,4}$ diagonalizable?

$$A_{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solutions.

1. $M_C^C(f) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \\ 5 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$

2. If we call v_3 the vector $v_3 = e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3$, then the three (lin. indep.) vectors v_1, v_2, v_3 form a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 made up by eigenvectors of g , let's call it \mathcal{B} . In other words:

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$M_C^C(g) = M_C^{\mathcal{B}}(id)M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathcal{B}}(g)M_{\mathcal{B}}^C(id) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 & 1 & -7/2 \\ 3 & 1 & -5 \\ -3/2 & 1 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice how the matrix written using the canonical basis is much more complicated than the one written using the basis of eigenvectors!

3. (a) $\lambda_1 = 5$, $E_A(5) = \mathcal{L}((1, 1))$, $\lambda_2 = -1$, $E_A(-1) = \mathcal{L}((1, -2))$; all multiplicities are 1;
 (b) $\lambda_1 = 3$, $E_B(3) = \mathcal{L}((4, 3))$, $\lambda_2 = 2$, $E_B(2) = \mathcal{L}((1, 1))$; all multiplicities are 1;
 (c) $\lambda_1 = 2$, with $m_a(2) = 2$, $E_C(2) = \mathcal{L}((1, -1))$, thus $m_g(2) = 1$;
 (d) $\lambda_1 = 0$, $E_D(0) = \mathcal{L}((1, 0, 0))$; $m_a(0) = 3$, $m_g(0) = 1$;
 (e) $\lambda_1 = 2$, $E_E(2) = \mathcal{L}((1, 1, 0))$, $\lambda_2 = 1$, $E_E(1) = \mathcal{L}((1, 0, 0), (0, 1, -1))$; $m_a(2) = 1 = m_g(2)$, $m_a(1) = 2 = m_g(1)$;
 (f) $\lambda_1 = 1$, $E_F(1) = \mathcal{L}((1, 0, 0))$, $\lambda_2 = 4$, $E_F(4) = \mathcal{L}((1, 1, 1))$, $\lambda_3 = -2$, $E_F(-2) = \mathcal{L}((-1, -1, 5))$; all multiplicities are 1.
4. (g) G has two distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = -2$, so it is diagonalizable.
 $E_G(0) = \mathcal{L}((4, 1))$ and $E_G(-2) = \mathcal{L}((2, 1))$, all multiplicities are 1.

The diagonalizing matrix is $P = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and indeed

$$P^{-1}GP = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & -1 \\ -1/2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -8 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (h) H only has one real eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$, therefore it is not diagonalizable over \mathbb{R} .

$$5. P^{-1}LP = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$Q^{-1}MQ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } Q = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$L^6 = L, \quad M^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 16 & 0 \\ -10 & 0 & 16 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^{-1} \text{ does not exist } (\det(L) = 0), \quad M^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

6. We can do it for 2 vectors, the general case is almost the same: let $\lambda \neq \mu$ be two distinct eigenvalues of an endomorphism $f : V \rightarrow V$, and let v and w two corresponding eigenvectors, that is, $f(v) = \lambda v$ and $f(w) = \mu w$. Suppose by contradiction that the two vectors are linearly dependent: then we would have $w = \alpha v$, where $\alpha \in K, \alpha \neq 0$. But then:

$$w = \alpha v \Rightarrow f(w) = f(\alpha v) \Rightarrow \mu w = \alpha f(v) = \alpha \lambda v \Rightarrow \mu(\alpha v) = \lambda(\alpha v) \Rightarrow (\mu - \lambda)(\alpha v) = 0_V.$$

By the zero product law, since $\mu - \lambda \neq 0$, and $v \neq 0_V$, necessarily $\alpha v = 0_V$, which is a contradiction.

7. As a first remark, notice that diagonal matrices commute: if $D_1 = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $D_2 = \text{Diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ are two diagonal matrices, one has that:

$$D_1 D_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mu_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \mu_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \mu_n \end{pmatrix} = D_2 D_1.$$

Let now $D_1 = P^{-1}AP$ and $D_2 = P^{-1}BP$ be the two diagonal matrices similar to A and B respectively. Then we can compute

$$AB = (PD_1P^{-1})(PD_2P^{-1}) = PD_1D_2P^{-1} = PD_2D_1P^{-1} = (PD_2P^{-1})(PD_1P^{-1}) = BA.$$

8. Since the eigenvalues of the matrix $A_{a,b}$ are $\lambda_1 = 1$ with $m_a(1) = 3$ and $\lambda_2 = a$, with $m_a(a) = 1$, the matrix is diagonalizable if and only if $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, or if $a \neq 1$, every b .

Please note. Remember that in general there might be more than one technique to solve the same exercise. If you find a typo, or something that you do not understand, let me know!